# EXHIBIT 1

1 2 3 4	LETITIA JAMES Attorney General State of New York Henry B. Smith California State Bar No. 313801 Assistant Attorney General Henry.Smith@ag.ny.gov	
5 6 7 8	Office of the New York Attorney General 28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005 (212) 416-8627  Counsel for Amicus Curiae State of New Y (Additional counsel listed in signature block)	
9 10 11	UNITED STA NORTHERN DI	ATES DISTRICT COURT ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA JOSE DIVISION
13	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	Case No. 5:22-cv-04325-EJD-SVK
14   15   16   17   18   19   19   19   19   19   19   19	V.  META PLATFORMS, INC., AND WITHIN UNLIMITED, INC.,  Defendants.	[PROPOSED] BRIEF FOR THE STATES OF NEW YORK, ALASKA, CALIFORNIA CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, HAWAII, IDAHO, ILLINOIS, MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS, MINNESOTA, MISSISSIPPI, MONTANA, NEBRASKA, NEVADA, NEW JERSEY, NEW MEXICO, NORTH CAROLINA, NORTH DAKOTA, OREGON, RHODE ISLAND, UTAH, AND WASHINGTON, THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, AND THE TERRITORY OF GUAM AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
25		
26 27		
28		

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

2	Pag
3	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
4	INTRODUCTION AND INTEREST OF AMICI
5	ARGUMENT
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	I. The Amici States' Experience Demonstrates That the Equities and Public Interest Favor a Preliminary Injunction of Meta's Acquisition of Within
8	A. A Preliminary Injunction Is Necessary to Preserve the Status Quo While the FTC Undertakes a Full Adjudication of Meta's Acquisition of Within
10	B. State Enforcement Efforts Underscore the Dangers That Acquisitions by Digital Platforms Like Meta May Pose to Competition and Innovation
11	C. Meta's Acquisition of Within Poses Dangers to Competition and Innovation
12 13	II. The FTC Is Likely to Prevail on the Merits Because Meta's Acquisition of Within Likely Would Substantially Lessen Competition
14	CONCLUSION
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Ш	Cases	Page(s
	Brown Shoe Co. v. United States, 370 U.S. 294 (1962)	12
	FTC v. Procter & Gamble Co., 386 U.S. 568 (1967)	12
	FTC v. Warner Commc'ns Inc., 742 F.2d 1156 (9th Cir. 1984)	
	In re Google Digital Advert. Antitrust Litig., Nos. 21-md-3010, 21-cv-6841, 2022 WL 4226932 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 13, 2022)	
	Massachusetts v. Microsoft Corp., 373 F.3d 1199 (D.C. Cir. 2004)	
	New York v. Facebook, Inc., 549 F. Supp. 3d 6 (D.D.C. 2021)	4
	United States v. Marine Bancorporation, Inc., 418 U.S. 602 (1974)	11-12
	United States v. Microsoft, 253 F.3d 34 (D.C. Cir. 2001)	
	Laws	
	15 U.S.C.	
	§ 18 § 45	
	§ 53	
1	Miscellaneous Authorities	
	Ben Lang, FTC Sues to Block Meta's Acquisition of Top VR Fitness App Developer, Road to VR (July 27, 2022), <a href="https://www.roadtovr.com/meta-supernatural-within-acquisition-price-ftc-scrutiny-report/">https://www.roadtovr.com/meta-supernatural-within-acquisition-price-ftc-scrutiny-report/</a>	
	C. Scott Hemphill & Tim Wu, <i>Nascent Competitors</i> , 168 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1879 (2020), <a href="https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=9715&amp;context=pen">https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=9715&amp;context=pen</a> n law review	(
	Colleen Cunningham et al., <i>Killer Acquisitions</i> , 129 J. Pol. Econ. 649 (2021), https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/epdf/10.1086/712506	

1	Miscellaneous Authorities	Page(s)
2	Comments from Att'ys Gen. in Response to Request for Information on Merger	
3	Enforcement (Apr. 21, 2022), <a href="https://www.naag.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Public-Comments-of-23-State-Attorneys-Generalpdf">https://www.naag.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Public-Comments-of-23-State-Attorneys-Generalpdf</a>	2
4	Cristina Caffarra et al., "How Tech Rolls": Potential Competition and "Reverse"	
5	Killer Acquisitions, Ctr. for Econ. Pol'y Rsch. (May 11, 2020), https://new.cepr.org/voxeu/blogs-and-reviews/how-tech-rolls-potential-	
6	competition-and-reverse-killer-acquisitions	6
7	Federal Trade Comm'n, Non-HSR Reported Acquisitions by Select Technology Platforms,	
8 9	2010-2019: An FTC Study (Sept. 2021), https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/reports/non-hsr-reported-acquisitions-select technology-platforms-2010-2019-ftc-study/p201201technologyplatformstudy2021.pd	
10	Jon Fingas, 'Beat Saber' Now Has an Official Song Designed to Keep You Fit, Engadget (Apr. 12, 2020), https://www.engadget.com/beat-saber-fitbeat-024917974.html	9-10
11 12	Meta Platforms, Inc. (META) CEO Mark Zuckerberg on Q2 2022 Results -	
13	Earnings Call Transcript, Seeking Alpha (July 29, 2022), <a href="https://seekingalpha.com/article/4527416-meta-platforms-inc-meta-ceo-mark-">https://seekingalpha.com/article/4527416-meta-platforms-inc-meta-ceo-mark-</a>	
14	<u>zuckerberg-on-q2-2022-results-earnings-call-transcript</u>	10
15	Nancy Schimelpfening, I Tried It: 'Supernatural' VR Workout on Oculus Quest, Healthline (Mar. 4, 2021), <a href="https://www.healthline.com/health-news/i-tried-it-">https://www.healthline.com/health-news/i-tried-it-</a>	1.0
16	supernatural-vr-workout-on-oculus-quest#Supernatural-vsBeat-Saber	10
17 18	Press Release, Eur. Comm'n, Mergers: Commission Fines Facebook €110 Million for Providing Misleading Information About WhatsApp Takeover (May 18, 2017), <a href="https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/IP_17_1369">https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/IP_17_1369</a>	7
19	Simple Investing, <i>Meta: The Metaverse Is Becoming a Reality</i> , Seeking Alpha (Oct. 22, 2022) <a href="https://seekingalpha.com/article/4548312-meta-metaverse-becoming-reality">https://seekingalpha.com/article/4548312-meta-metaverse-becoming-reality</a>	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	Stigler Comm. on Digit. Platforms, Final Report (Sept. 2019), <a href="https://www.chicagobooth.edu/-/media/research/stigler/pdfs/digital-platformscommittee-reportstigler-center.pdf">https://www.chicagobooth.edu/-/media/research/stigler/pdfs/digital-platformscommittee-reportstigler-center.pdf</a>	5
22 23	Tim Bajarin, Could Fitness Be the Killer App for the Metaverse? Or Is It Gaming? Or	
24	Both?, Forbes (Dec. 24, 2021), https://www.forbes.com/sites/timbajarin/2021/12/24/could-fitness-be-the-killer-	0
25	app-for-the-metaverse-or-is-it-gaming-or-both/?sh=294291b1146f	9
26	Tim Wu & Stuart A. Thompson, <i>The Roots of Big Tech Run Disturbingly Deep</i> , N.Y. Times (June 7, 2019),	
27	https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2019/06/07/opinion/google-facebook- mergers-acquisitions-antitrust.html	7
28	Within (VR/AR), Summary, Crunchbase, <a href="https://www.crunchbase.com/organization/vrse/companyfinancials">https://www.crunchbase.com/organization/vrse/companyfinancials</a>	9
	iii [PROPOSED] BRIEF FOR THE STATES OF NEW YORK ET AL. AS AMICI CURIAE  Case No. 5:22 cv. 04325, FID. SVK	

# Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 190-1 Filed 11/07/22 Page 6 of 23

1	Miscellaneous Authorities Page(s
2	Workout Near, Go Far: Stay Fit with 'Supernatural,' the Daily Fitness Adventure Out
3	Now on Oculus Quest, Meta Quest: Oculus Blog (Apr. 23, 2020), https://www.oculus.com/blog/workout-near-go-far-stay-fit-with-supernatural-the-
4	daily-fitness-adventure-out-now-on-oculus-quest-/
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
12	
13	
4	
15	
16	
17	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	iv

#### INTRODUCTION AND INTEREST OF AMICI

The States of New York, Alaska, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, Utah, and Washington, the District of Columbia, and the Territory of Guam ("Amici States") file this amicus brief in support of the Federal Trade Commission's motion for a preliminary injunction against Meta Platforms' proposed acquisition of Within Unlimited. As co-enforcers of the federal antitrust laws and enforcers of their own state antitrust laws, the Amici States have unique experience and interest in promoting the competition, innovation, and economic dynamism that are key drivers of the Amici States' economies, and in protecting their citizens from anticompetitive harms, including those arising from acquisitions that eliminate potential competition. The Amici States file this brief to protect businesses and consumers in their States from harm that may result if the FTC is denied the opportunity to fully adjudicate the lawfulness of Meta's acquisition of Within before the acquisition takes place.

The Amici States' experience demonstrates that the equities and the public interest favor a preliminary injunction of Meta's acquisition of Within. The proposed acquisition fits neatly into a pattern of recent acquisitions by Meta that have facilitated its rapid rise to dominance of digital spaces, including the virtual reality space at issue in this case, and that have substantially harmed competition, innovation, and consumers. The Amici States' experience also demonstrates that the FTC is likely to prevail on the merits because Meta's acquisition of Within may substantially lessen competition and tend to create a monopoly by eliminating both perceived and actual potential competition.

The Amici States have long been at the forefront of efforts to investigate and address the potential harms to competition raised by digital platforms. More than two decades ago, a bipartisan coalition of States, coordinating with the United States, filed an antitrust complaint against an early dominant tech player, Microsoft, resulting in a landmark decision and a remedial decree requiring Microsoft to take numerous actions to promote competition in the market for personal computer operating systems. *See United States v. Microsoft*, 253 F.3d 34 (D.C. Cir. 2001) (en banc); *Massachusetts v. Microsoft Corp.*, 373 F.3d 1199 (D.C. Cir. 2004).

#### Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 190-1 Filed 11/07/22 Page 8 of 23

The Amici States have since continued their leading role in investigating the potential anticompetitive effects of digital platforms' actions and in taking enforcement action when necessary. For example, a bipartisan coalition of States, coordinating with the FTC, are currently litigating an antitrust case arising from defendant Meta's acquisitions and monopolization in the personal social networking market. In that case, the States' and FTC's investigations have revealed that Meta (previously Facebook) engaged in a strategy to cement its monopoly by "buying or burying" nascent and potential competitors, which chilled innovation and deterred investment in social networking alternatives, and freed Meta to weaken user privacy and data protection and to allow misinformation and violent or otherwise objectionable content to proliferate on its platform. States are also litigating antitrust enforcement actions against other digital platforms, like Google, related to harms that these platforms have allegedly caused to competition, innovation, and, ultimately, to consumers. And earlier this year, the Attorneys General of a bipartisan coalition of States submitted public comments to the FTC and the U.S. Department of Justice, urging robust merger enforcement to protect potential competition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Compl. ¶¶ 4-8, New York v. Facebook, Inc., No. 20-cv-3589 (D.D.C. Dec. 21, 2020), ECF No. 53-1 ("New York Compl."); accord Am. Compl. ¶¶ 11, 66, 105, 127, 129, 217, 233, 240, FTC v. Meta Platforms, Inc., No. 20-cv-3590 (D.D.C. Sept. 8, 2021), ECF No. 82 ("FTC Am. Compl.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, e.g., In re Google Digital Advert. Antitrust Litig., Nos. 21-md-3010, 21-cv-6841, 2022 WL 4226932 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 13, 2022) (largely denying Google's motion to dismiss); Compl., Colorado v. Google LLC, No. 20-cv-3715 (D.D.C. Jan. 4, 2021), ECF No. 60; First Am. Compl., Utah v. Google LLC, No. 21-cv-5227 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 1, 2021), ECF No. 188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Comments from Att'ys Gen. in Response to Request for Information on Merger Enforcement (Apr. 21, 2022) (<u>internet</u>). (For sources available on the internet, full URLs appear in the Table of Authorities. All URLs were last visited on November 7, 2022.)

#### **ARGUMENT**

Section 13(b) of the FTC Act empowers courts to issue interim injunctive relief to preserve the status quo while the FTC decides the legality of an acquisition in an adjudicatory agency proceeding. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 53(b). In evaluating such interim relief, the court does not decide the merits, but rather whether preliminary relief is in the public interest, as determined by the equities and the FTC's likelihood of success on the merits. *See id.* Here, the Amici States' experience demonstrates that the equities strongly favor a preliminary injunction, and that the FTC is likely to prevail on the merits.<sup>4</sup>

- I. The Amici States' Experience Demonstrates That the Equities and Public Interest Favor a Preliminary Injunction of Meta's Acquisition of Within.
  - A. A Preliminary Injunction Is Necessary to Preserve the Status Quo While the FTC Undertakes a Full Adjudication of Meta's Acquisition of Within.

The FTC's requested preliminary injunction is necessary to preserve the status quo until the FTC adjudicates whether Meta's acquisition of Within is lawful. As the Ninth Circuit has emphasized, at this preliminary stage, an injunction to preserve the status quo is appropriate so long as the FTC raises questions serious enough to provide "fair ground for thorough investigation, study, deliberation and determination by the FTC in the first instance and ultimately by the Court of Appeals." FTC v. Warner Comme'ns Inc., 742 F.2d 1156, 1162 (9th Cir. 1984) (quotation marks omitted). The FTC has satisfied that standard here. The experience of the Amici States, discussed further below, supports the FTC's view that Meta's proposed acquisition of Within threatens to substantially harm competition in the emerging virtual reality space. Particularly in light of the historical pattern of anticompetitive acquisitions by Meta, it is crucial that the FTC be permitted to undertake a thorough, careful review of Meta's proposed acquisition of Within before it is allowed to go forward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For many of the same reasons that the FTC's motion for a preliminary injunction should be granted, Meta's pending motion to dismiss the FTC's complaint (ECF No. 108) is meritless and should be denied.

Moreover, the States' past enforcement efforts underscore that Meta's acquisition of Within should be preliminarily enjoined now, before the damage is done. If the preliminary injunction is not granted, the acquisition will proceed, and Meta will be able to access Within's confidential strategic information and to begin integrating the firms. Immediate harms to competition and innovation may result. And Meta will likely argue in any future related antitrust challenge—as it successfully argued in the district court in the States' pending antitrust case against Meta—that it will be too late to unwind any competitive harms that result from the acquisition of Within once the acquisition has closed.<sup>5</sup> Accordingly, the public interest strongly supports a preliminary injunction to preserve the status quo while the FTC's adjudication proceeds.

# B. State Enforcement Efforts Underscore the Dangers That Acquisitions by Digital Platforms Like Meta May Pose to Competition and Innovation.

In recent years, it has become increasingly clear to the Amici States through their investigations and enforcement actions that digital markets are especially prone to threats to competition, innovation, and consumers. Digital platforms like Meta's, which facilitate interactions and transactions between users in a digital space, can amass market power across multiple complementary markets and features, allowing them to dominate entire digital realms, as Meta has dominated the personal social networking space and now increasingly dominates the virtual reality space at issue here. Meta's dominance has allowed it to become a gatekeeper, giving it power to dictate the terms of access to its digital spaces for other firms and for consumers. Wielding such market power, Meta has thrived by exploiting users' data, both to keep users engaged with its products and to sell profitable targeted advertising. Meta's digital platforms share a distinctive set of features that can help entrench dominance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See New York v. Facebook, Inc., 549 F. Supp. 3d 6, 29-31, 35-38 (D.D.C. 2021). Although the district court dismissed the States' complaint against Meta largely on the basis of timeliness considerations, the States' appeal is pending in the D.C. Circuit. See New York v. Meta Platforms, Inc., No. 21-7078 (D.C. Cir.). The FTC's parallel action also remains pending in the district court. See FTC v. Meta Platforms, Inc., No. 20-cv-3590 (D.D.C.).

#### Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 190-1 Filed 11/07/22 Page 11 of 23

6

8 9

7

11 12

10

13 14

16

15

18

19

17

20

21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

First, Meta's digital platforms exhibit strong *network effects*. The more users its platforms have, the more appealing they become for other users to join and use. Such network effects pose a substantial barrier to entry for potential competitors because users do not want to switch to new platforms that do not have as many other users.

Second, and relatedly, Meta's digital platforms exhibit strong economies of both scale and scope. The platforms benefit from growing by adding more users (scale) and by expanding into features that complement those the platform already offers (scope). The additional users and features increase network effects, provide more data to the platform, and can increase entry barriers that rivals must overcome.

Third, Meta's digital platforms exhibit *close-to-zero marginal costs* to reach new users. A new user can join and use an established platform like Meta's Facebook platform at virtually no cost to the platform. Thus, once a platform establishes strong network effects, it is well positioned to grow rapidly into a dominant, gatekeeper position, and to amplify barriers to entry for new competitors.6

It also has become increasingly clear to the Amici States that digital platforms like Meta's have often cemented market dominance in large part through acquisitions. A recent FTC study of acquisitions of \$1 million or more revealed that, from 2010 to 2019, five leading digital platforms (Amazon, Apple, Facebook/Meta, Google/Alphabet, and Microsoft) bought some 616 companies. In many cases, the platforms acquired relatively small, young companies.<sup>7</sup>

And as leading economists have emphasized, such acquisitions by digital platforms like Meta may present serious threats to the public interest by empowering already dominant incumbents to eliminate nascent or potential competition, and to discontinue innovation by the platform, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See generally Stigler Comm. on Digit. Platforms, Final Report (Sept. 2019) (internet).

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  FTC, Non-HSR Reported Acquisitions by Select Technology Platforms, 2010-2019: An FTC Study 13, 36-37 (Sept. 2021) (internet).

target firm, or both.<sup>8</sup> Indeed, sophisticated incumbent companies sitting at the center of a digital ecosystem, like Meta in virtual reality, are uniquely positioned to quickly identify acquisition targets that threaten their dominant positions.<sup>9</sup>

The Amici States' experience shows that Meta's prior acquisitions have harmed competition in a variety of ways—underscoring that the FTC should be allowed to adjudicate the lawfulness of Meta's current acquisition of Within before the acquisition is completed and potentially harms competition. Meta's past acquisitions have sometimes eliminated nascent existing competitors operating in the same core space as the platform. For instance, Meta acquired Instagram, a growing social networking competitor, for a hefty premium of \$1 billion. It has since become clear to the States that this acquisition harmed competition by helping Meta eliminate an emerging competitor and entrench its market power over personal social networking services. In fact, as the States learned from their investigation, Meta CEO Mark Zuckerberg acknowledged at the time of the Instagram acquisition that a rationale for the acquisition was to "neutralize" a nascent competitor. In

At other times, by acquiring complementary businesses that were operating outside of the platform's core functionality, Meta has eliminated *potential* competition. For example, Meta acquired leading messaging app WhatsApp for an extraordinary sum of nearly \$19 billion in an effort to prevent WhatsApp from exploiting its large user base to become a competitor of Meta in social networking. <sup>12</sup> Meta also acquired at least one firm—Onavo, which facilitated analysis of app usage data—for the purpose of allowing Meta to identify more emerging competitive threats to eliminate,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, e.g., Colleen Cunningham et al., Killer Acquisitions, 129 J. Pol. Econ. 649 (2021) (internet); Cristina Caffarra et al., "How Tech Rolls": Potential Competition and "Reverse" Killer Acquisitions, Ctr. for Econ. Pol'y Rsch. (May 11, 2020) (internet).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See C. Scott Hemphill & Tim Wu, Nascent Competitors, 168 U. Pa. L. Rev. 1879, 1905-06 (2020) (internet).

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  See New York Compl., supra,  $\P\P$  107-128; accord FTC Am. Compl., supra,  $\P\P$  80-106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See New York Compl., supra, ¶¶ 102, 114-116 (quotation marks omitted); accord FTC Am. Compl., supra, ¶¶ 89-107.

<sup>12</sup> See New York Compl., supra, ¶¶ 149-175; accord FTC Am. Compl., supra, ¶¶ 107-129.

6
[PROPOSED] BRIEF FOR THE STATES OF NEW YORK ET AL. AS AMICI CURIAE
Case No. 5:22-cv-04325-EJD-SVK

#### Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 190-1 Filed 11/07/22 Page 13 of 23

and to preclude existing rivals from having access to the same capabilities. <sup>13</sup> In acquiring complementary businesses outside its core functionality, Meta cemented its dominance by adding to its network effects and economies of scale and scope—thereby generating valuable new user engagement and data for the platform. For instance, after acquiring WhatsApp, Meta exploited WhatsApp's user data to benefit Meta's core platform. <sup>14</sup>

Meta's acquisitions also have suppressed innovation. For example, after Meta acquired Instagram, it terminated work on its own innovative alternative: Facebook Camera. <sup>15</sup> In other cases, Meta has shut down the services of innovative acquired firms altogether, having accomplished its goal of eliminating a nascent or potential competitor or denying the firm to an existing rival. <sup>16</sup> In fact, Meta has reportedly shut down nearly half of its acquisitions. <sup>17</sup>

But, because Meta's pattern of anticompetitive acquisitions was not clear until recently, the States did not challenge their lawfulness until later, when anticompetitive effects were already substantial. That history highlights the need to permit the FTC's thorough adjudication of Meta's latest proposed acquisition now, *before* the acquisition proceeds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See New York Compl., supra, ¶¶ 137-148; accord FTC Am. Compl., supra, ¶¶ 69-74.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See New York Compl., supra, ¶¶ 176-178; accord FTC Am. Compl., supra, ¶¶ 127, 153. In fact, Meta exploited WhatsApp's data despite promising regulators responsible for reviewing the acquisition that it would not do so. Meta was ultimately fined €110 million for misleading the regulators. See Press Release, Eur. Comm'n, Mergers: Commission Fines Facebook €110 Million for Providing Misleading Information About WhatsApp Takeover (May 18, 2017) (internet).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> *New York* Compl. ¶ 124; *accord FTC* Am. Compl., *supra*, ¶¶ 84-85, 98-99.

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  See, e.g., New York Compl., supra, ¶ 184; accord FTC Am. Compl., supra, ¶¶ 74-76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Tim Wu & Stuart A. Thompson, *The Roots of Big Tech Run Disturbingly Deep*, N.Y. Times (June 7, 2019) (internet).

#### C. Meta's Acquisition of Within Poses Dangers to Competition and Innovation.

Having achieved dominance in personal social networking, Meta has turned its attention to the rapidly growing virtual reality space. Meta has acknowledged that its strategy is to "us[e] acquisitions opportunistically" to bolster its position as a leading virtual reality company, just as it previously used acquisitions as part of its strategy to dominate personal social networking. <sup>18</sup>

The need to allow the FTC to adjudicate the lawfulness of Meta's acquisition of Within now, before the acquisition goes forward, is underscored by Meta's recent moves toward a dominant position in each part of the virtual reality space—largely through acquisitions. Meta has moved toward a dominant position in (1) the headset used to access the virtual reality environment, with its Meta Quest 2, the leading virtual reality headset in the United States; (2) the apps, with number one game Beat Saber and many other popular titles; and (3) app distribution, with the Quest Store, the leading virtual reality app store. <sup>19</sup> As it previously did in the social networking space, Meta has used serial acquisitions to move toward dominance in the virtual reality space—as in its acquisitions of the Oculus headset manufacturer that provided the basis for Meta's market-leading Quest 2, and the Beat Saber game studio and numerous other app studios. <sup>20</sup> The appendix to this brief lists seventeen publicly disclosed virtual reality—related acquisitions by Meta, largely in the last two years.

By purchasing Within, the creator of the app Supernatural, Meta seeks to expand its developing virtual reality dominance into the fast-growing and highly concentrated market for virtual reality dedicated fitness apps—i.e., apps designed to allow users to exercise through a structured workout in their own homes.<sup>21</sup> Virtual reality fitness is, in the words of Within's founder and CEO, a "killer use case" for virtual reality; it has been driving many new users to buy Meta's virtual

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Am. Compl. for a Prelim. Inj. (Am. Compl.) ¶ 7 (Oct. 7, 2022), ECF No. 101-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See id. ¶¶ 2-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See, e.g., id. ¶¶ 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> *See id.* ¶ 35.

#### Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 190-1 Filed 11/07/22 Page 15 of 23

reality headsets and to begin using virtual reality products.<sup>22</sup> Meta is poised to, and perceived as likely to, enter the virtual reality dedicated fitness market independently by introducing its own competing app.<sup>23</sup> But, instead, Meta now proposes to buy the leading existing virtual reality dedicated fitness app, Supernatural, to eliminate the need for competition and innovation.<sup>24</sup>

The Amici States' enforcement experience strongly suggests that Meta's acquisition of Within threatens harms to competition and the public interest similar to the harms from Meta's past efforts to use acquisitions to entrench market dominance in the personal social networking market. By purchasing Within, Meta will have bought a dominant position in the rapidly growing dedicated fitness segment of the virtual reality space, and removed competitive rivalry between Within and Meta and related incentives for Within to take risks, innovate, and introduce new products, features, and experiences. At the same time, Meta will have helped cement its dominance in the virtual reality space as a whole, by bringing new, fitness-focused users into Meta's suite of virtual reality products. Thus, the acquisition will raise entry barriers for other potential competitors in the virtual reality space. Meta's reported willingness to pay over \$400 million for the acquisition of Within, several times the total funding Within received over its entire corporate existence, suggests that Meta is willing to pay a large premium to lock in its dominance<sup>25</sup>—just as Meta was willing to pay large premiums for the same purpose when it previously acquired Instagram and WhatsApp (see *supra* at 6). The equities therefore strongly support a preliminary injunction to preserve the status quo while the FTC's challenge to the acquisition proceeds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See id. ¶¶ 8, 71 (quotation marks omitted); see also Tim Bajarin, Could Fitness Be the Killer App for the Metaverse? Or Is It Gaming? Or Both?, Forbes (Dec. 24, 2021) (internet).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See, e.g., Am. Compl. ¶¶ 68, 79, 93; Jon Fingas, 'Beat Saber' Now Has an Official Song Designed to Keep You Fit, Engadget (Apr. 12, 2020) (internet).

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  See Am. Compl. ¶¶ 8, 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See Ben Lang, FTC Sues to Block Meta's Acquisition of Top VR Fitness App Developer, Road to VR (July 27, 2022) (internet); Within (VR/AR), Summary, Crunchbase (internet).

# II. The FTC Is Likely to Prevail on the Merits Because Meta's Acquisition of Within Likely Would Substantially Lessen Competition.

The FTC is likely to prevail on the merits because Meta's acquisition of Within likely would substantially lessen competition and tend to create a monopoly in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

Based on their experience, the Amici States agree with the FTC that Meta's acquisition of Within likely would eliminate both perceived and actual potential competition in violation of the antitrust laws. Meta has strong incentives to fortify its dominance in the virtual reality space by expanding into the dedicated fitness market. Moreover, while Meta does not currently have its own virtual reality dedicated fitness app, the company's already dominant position and ongoing massive investments in virtual reality and related technologies—totaling more than \$25 billion since 2020<sup>26</sup>—give Meta ideal means to develop a competing dedicated fitness app, particularly by adapting its existing leading virtual reality app, Beat Saber, which already has a fitness dimension.<sup>27</sup>

Indeed, Meta is already innovating to position itself to enter the virtual reality dedicated fitness market to compete against Supernatural. It has (1) released FitBeat, a Beat Saber audio track designed to keep users fit; (2) launched a fitness advertising campaign around Beat Saber's expanded music; and (3) promoted fitness using, for example, Oculus Move, a tool that tracks active time and calories burned.<sup>28</sup> For its part, Within responded to Meta's perceived likely entry by differentiating its Supernatural app with (1) music; (2) wide-ranging fitness movement; and (3) trainer-coaches and expert-choreographed work-out routines.<sup>29</sup> Thus, Meta and Within are currently inno-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See Meta Platforms, Inc. (META) CEO Mark Zuckerberg on Q2 2022 Results - Earnings Call Transcript, Seeking Alpha (July 29, 2022) (internet); Simple Investing, Meta: The Metaverse Is Becoming a Reality, Seeking Alpha (Oct. 22, 2022) (internet).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See Am. Compl. ¶ 68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See Fingas, supra; Am. Compl.  $\P$  64.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See, e.g., Nancy Schimelpfening, I Tried It: 'Supernatural' VR Workout on Oculus Quest, Healthline (Mar. 4, 2021) (internet); Workout Near, Go Far: Stay Fit with 'Supernatural,' the Daily Fitness Adventure Out Now on Oculus Quest, Meta Quest: Oculus Blog (Apr. 23, 2020) (internet).

10
[PROPOSED] BRIEF FOR THE STATES OF NEW YORK ET AL. AS AMICI CURIAE

10

11

8

14

20

vating against each other in anticipation of Meta's market entry. Meta's proposed acquisition of Within would eliminate not only this present rivalrous innovation, but also the resulting direct product competition between Meta, Within, and other industry participants if Meta enters the dedicated fitness market.

Meta is therefore a powerful *actual* potential competitor in the market for virtual reality dedicated fitness apps, already innovating actively, and well positioned to enter the market through internal expansion or by purchasing a nondominant app. Similarly, Meta is a powerful *perceived* potential competitor, which existing market participants view as likely to enter the market for virtual reality dedicated fitness apps.

Meta's acquisition of Within is precisely the sort of acquisition that the Supreme Court has recognized as likely to eliminate potential competition in violation of Section 7. As the Supreme Court has explained, the factors pertinent to any potential competition case include "[1] the economic feasibility and likelihood of de novo entry, [2] the capabilities and expansion history of the acquiring firm, and [3] the performance as well as the structural characteristics of the target market.' United States v. Marine Bancorporation, Inc., 418 U.S. 602, 642 (1974). As the Amici States' experience with Meta and other digital platforms makes clear, all of these factors suggest that Meta's acquisition of Within would unlawfully eliminate potential competition. As a platform that increasingly dominates and seeks to entrench dominance in the virtual reality space, Meta has a highly feasible and likely route to de novo entry into a complementary virtual reality market (dedicated fitness apps), especially given its existing Beat Saber app. Meta's capabilities are also much greater and its expansion history is much more aggressive than typical entrants. And the virtual reality space is hospitable to dominant platforms like Meta because of network effects and economies of scale and scope, magnifying the risk that the already highly concentrated fitness app segment will become more concentrated by Meta's acquisition, rather than benefitting from deconcentrating effects of Meta's independent entry.

Although Meta's motion to dismiss asserts that antitrust claims based on eliminating potential competition are rare, the Supreme Court has blocked an acquisition that eliminates potential competition in a situation that closely parallels the situation here. In FTC v. Procter & Gamble Co.,

#### Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 190-1 Filed 11/07/22 Page 18 of 23

the Supreme Court blocked dominant household products supplier Procter & Gamble's acquisition of Clorox, the leading manufacturer of a complementary household product, bleach—even though Procter & Gamble was not at the time a competitor in the market for bleach. See 386 U.S. 568, 568-72, 580 (1967). The Court did so because Procter & Gamble was a powerful potential competitor to Clorox in the bleach market, just as Meta is a powerful potential competitor to Within here. As the Court explained, Procter & Gamble "was engaged in a vigorous program of diversifying into product lines closely related to its basic products." *Id.* at 580. So too here, Meta has been diversifying its virtual reality products. And the Court further explained that Procter & Gamble had recently launched a new cleaner in a market adjacent to the bleach market. Bleach was thus "a natural avenue of diversification" for Procter & Gamble since it was "complementary to Procter's products" and already "sold to the same customers through the same channels" as Procter & Gamble's existing products. *Id.* Here, a virtual reality dedicated fitness app would be complementary to Meta's other virtual reality products and would be sold to virtual reality users through the same channels as existing Meta products, like Beat Saber. Meta's acquisition of Within is thus a formidable threat to potential competition for the same reasons as the Procter & Gamble acquisition of Clorox that the Supreme Court found unlawful.

Moreover, contrary to Meta's suggestion in its motion to dismiss, Meta's entry as a leading competitor need not be certain in order for the FTC to have a Section 7 claim. In enacting that provision, Congress aimed to address threats to competition while they were "still in [their] incipiency," before market power was cemented. *See Brown Shoe Co. v. United States*, 370 U.S. 294, 317-18 & n.32 (1962). Congress therefore was concerned "with probabilities, not certainties," and prohibited any acquisitions the effect of which "'may" be substantially to lessen competition or to tend to create a monopoly. *See id.* at 323 (quoting Section 7). That standard recognizes that "[u]nequivocal proof that an acquiring firm actually would have entered de novo but for a merger is rarely available." *See Marine Bancorporation*, 418 U.S. at 624. Meta's acquisition of Within may substantially lessen competition or tend to create a monopoly; thus, it should be preliminarily enjoined.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

**CONCLUSION** 1 The Court should grant the preliminary injunction sought by the FTC. 2 Dated: New York, New York 3 November 7, 2022 Respectfully submitted, 4 LETITIA JAMES 5 Attorney General State of New York 6 7 /s/ Henry B. Smith 8 Henry B. Smith California State Bar No. 313801 9 **Assistant Attorney General** Henry.Smith@ag.ny.gov 10 11 Barbara D. Underwood\* Solicitor General 12 Judith N. Vale\* Deputy Solicitor General 13 Philip J. Levitz\* Assistant Solicitor General 14 15 Christopher D'Angelo\* Chief Deputy Attorney General, 16 Economic Justice Division Elinor R. Hoffmann\* 17 Chief, Antitrust Bureau Jay Himes\* 18 Daniel P. Weick\* 19 Special Litigation Counsel Benjamin Cole\* 20 Nathaniel Kosslyn\* Beatriz Marques\* 21 Assistant Attorneys General 22 Office of the Attorney General 23 28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005 24 (212) 416-8627 25 Counsel for Amicus Curiae State of New York 26 \*Not admitted in the Northern District of California 27 (Counsel list continues on next page.) 28

1 2 3 4	TREG R. TAYLOR Attorney General State of Alaska P.O. Box 110300 Juneau, AK 99811	BRIAN E. FROSH Attorney General State of Maryland 200 Saint Paul Place Baltimore, MD 21202	MATTHEW J. PLATKIN  Attorney General  State of New Jersey P.O. Box 45029 124 Halsey Street, 5th Fl.  Newark, NJ 07102
5 6 7 8	ROB BONTA  Attorney General  State of California  455 Golden Gate Avenue, Ste. 11000  San Francisco, CA 94102	MAURA HEALEY Attorney General Commonwealth of Massachusetts One Ashburton Place, 18th Fl. Boston, MA 02108	Santa Fe, NM 87504
9 10 11	WILLIAM TONG Attorney General State of Connecticut 165 Capitol Avenue Hartford, CT 06106	KEITH ELLISON Attorney General State of Minnesota 445 Minnesota Street, Ste. 1400 St. Paul, MN 55101	JOSHUA H. STEIN Attorney General State of North Carolina P.O. Box 629 Raleigh, NC 27690
12 13 14	KATHLEEN JENNINGS Attorney General State of Delaware 820 North French Street, 5th Fl. Wilmington, DE 19801	LYNN FITCH Attorney General State of Mississippi P.O. Box 220 Jackson, MS 39205	DREW H. WRIGLEY  Attorney General  State of North Dakota 1720 Burlington Drive, Ste. C Bismarck, ND 58504
15 16 17 18	HOLLY T. SHIKADA Attorney General State of Hawaii 425 Queen Street Honolulu, HI 96813	Austin Knudsen Attorney General State of Montana P.O. Box 200151 Helena, MT 59620	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM Attorney General State of Oregon 1162 Court Street NE Salem, OR 97301
19 20 21 22	LAWRENCE G. WASDEN  Attorney General  State of Idaho  954 West Jefferson Street, 2nd Fl.  P.O. Box 83720  Boise, ID 83720	Douglas J. Peterson Attorney General State of Nebraska 2115 State Capitol Lincoln, NE 68508	PETER F. NERONHA Attorney General State of Rhode Island 150 South Main Street Providence, RI 02903
23 24 25 26	KWAME RAOUL Attorney General State of Illinois 100 West Randolph Street, 12th Fl. Chicago, IL 60601	AARON D. FORD  Attorney General  State of Nevada  100 North Carson Street  Carson City, NV 89701	SEAN D. REYES  Attorney General  State of Utah  P.O. Box 140873  160 East 300 South, 5th Fl.  Salt Lake City, UT 84114

# Case 5:22-cv-04325-EJD Document 190-1 Filed 11/07/22 Page 21 of 23

1 2 3	ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General State of Washington 800 Fifth Avenue, Ste. 2000 Seattle, WA 98104
4 5 6 7 8	KARL A. RACINE  Attorney General  District of Columbia  400 6th Street, NW  Washington, D.C. 20001  LEEVIN TAITANO CAMACHO  Attorney General  Territory of Guam
9   10	590 South Marine Corps Drive, Ste. 901 Tamuning, GU 96913
11	Tamaning, GC 70713
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

[PROPOSED] BRIEF FOR THE STATES OF NEW YORK ET AL. AS AMICI CURIAE

Case No. 5:22-cv-04325-EJD-SVK

# Appendix: Virtual Reality-Related Acquisitions by Meta\*

Hardware & Technology Acquisitions  Application & Studio Acquisitions					
1.					
					Saber.
2.	2016	Zurich Eye: visual navigation for	2.	2020	Sanzaru Games: creator of fantasy
		machines.			combat game Asgard's Wrath.
3.	2019	CTRL-Labs: wristbands that	3.	2020	Ready at Dawn Studios: creator of
		monitor motor neurons for			zero-gravity adventure game Lone
		movement.			Echo II, and online team sports
					game Echo VR.
4.	2019	Scape Technologies: visual	4.	2021	Downpour Interactive: creator of
		positioning technology helping			team-based combat game Onward.
		machines to understand their			
		surroundings.			
5.	2020	Mapillary: spatial mapping and	5.	2021	Bigbox VR: creator of multiplayer
		imaging.			combat game Population: One.
6.	2020	Lemnis Technologies: lens	6.	2021	Unit 2 Games: creator of a
		technology.			collaborative platform for creating
					and playing users' own games,
					Crayta.
7.	2021	ImagineOptix: lenses for VR	7.	2021	Twisted Pixel Games: creator of
		headsets.			various virtual reality games,
					including combat and mystery
					thriller games.
8.	2022	Lofelt: technology that replicates	8.	2022	Camouflaj: creator of Marvel
		touch in a virtual setting.			comic-based game Iron Man VR
			9.	2022	Armature Studio: creator of virtual
					reality combat game Resident Evil
					4.
		1	6		

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

\*Sources:

Alejandro Medellin, How Meta Plans to Dominate the Metaverse: Buy Everything in Sight,
Input (Dec. 22, 2021), <a href="https://www.inputmag.com/tech/how-meta-plans-dominate-metaverse-buy-">https://www.inputmag.com/tech/how-meta-plans-dominate-metaverse-buy-</a>
every-yr-company.

Jasmine Teng, Metaverse Shopping Spree: What Facebook's VR Acquisitions Tell Us About Its Future, Quartz (Nov. 9, 2021), https://qz.com/2086381/what-facebooks-vr-acquisitions-tell-usabout-metas-future/.

Nick Statt, Meta Acquires Three New VR Game Developers for Oculus Studios, Protocol (Oct. 11, 2022), https://www.protocol.com/bulletins/meta-oculus-studios-game-developer.

Peter Kafka, Facebook Is Quietly Buying Up the Metaverse: Can Mark Zuckerberg M&A a New Monopoly?, Vox (Nov. 11, 2021), https://www.vox.com/recode/22776461/facebook-metametaverse-monopoly.

Salvador Rodriguez, Meta Acquires Berlin Startup to Boost Virtual Reality Ambitions (Sept. 2, 2022), https://www.wsj.com/articles/meta-acquires-berlin-startup-to-boost-virtual-realityambitions-11662147631.

Staff of Subcomm. on Antitrust, Com. & Admin. L. of the H. Comm. on the Judiciary, 116th Cong. Investigation of Competition in Digital Markets 11, 423-30 (2020) https://judiciary.house.gov/uploadedfiles/competition in digital markets.pdf?utm campaign=44 93-519.